

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 101717

63

ORIGIN SS-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /011 R

66617

DRAFTED BY: S/S-P:WCAMPBELL

APPROVED BY: S/S-O:PSHANKLE

----- 125126

P 011934Z MAY 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USNMR SHAPE PRIORITY

USCINCEUR PRIORITY

S E C R E T STATE 101717

EXDIS MILITARY ADDEES HANDLE AS SPECAT EXCLUSIVE

FOLLOWING REPEAT NATO 2430 SENT SECSTATE INFO BONN LONDON
MBFR VIENNA DATED MAY 1.

QUOTE

S E C R E T USNATO 2430

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: PROCEEDING IN NATO WITH OPTION III

DEPARTMENT PLEASE PASS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, USNMR SHAPE
AND USCINCEUR

REF: A. USNATO 2315

B. STATE 91705

1. ON APRIL 30, AT UK REQUEST, UK, FRG AND US MISSION OFFICERS
MET ON HOW TO HANDLE OPTION III IN NATO, AS FOLLOW-UP TO MEETING
REPORTED REF A.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 101717

2. UK DELEGATION OFFICERS (LOGAN AND BAILES) TOOK STRONG LINE

THAT OPTION III REQUIRES THOROUGH MILITARY TECHNICAL STUDY WITHIN THE ALLIANCE. THE UK WISHES IMPORTANT ROLE FOR BOTH MC AND MBFR WORKING GROUP. SPC MEETINGS WITH EXPERTS ARE ALL RIGHT AS LONG AS MBFR WORKING GROUP IS ABLE TO PERFORM ITS NORMAL ROLE. UK AUTHORITIES THOUGHT SPC WITH EXPERTS COULD NOT MEET FREQUENTLY ENOUGH FOR THOROUGH MILITARY-TECHNICAL STUDY. UK WOULD BE WILLING TO HAVE WG MEET TWICE A WEEK IF NECESSARY, AND FOR SPC TO GIVE WG STRICT DEADLINES. UK CONSIDERED THAT ALLIANCE WORK WOULD PROCEED MORE EXPEDITIOUSLY WITH SUBSTANTIAL WG INVOLVEMENT FROM THE BEGINNING. HOWEVER, ADEQUATE MILITARY-TECHNICAL ANALYSIS WOULD TAKE TIME.

3. FRG DELEGATION OFFICERS (BOSS AND HOYNCK) SAID BONN GENERALLY AGREES WITH US ON HOW TO HANDLE OPTION III. BONN WISHES EMPHASIS ON POLICY ASPECTS THROUGH SPC REINFORCED BY EXPERTS. BONN ALSO DOES NOT EXCLUDE THAT SPC MIGHT EVENTUALLY FIND IT USEFUL TO ASSIGN WG SPECIFIC, LIMITED TASKS WITH STRICT DEADLINES. HOWEVER, FRG DID NOT SEE MORE THAN MINIMUM ROLE FOR WG. LOGAN DISAGREED; HE SAID UK WANTS MAXIMUM ROLE FOR WG, AND WOULD EXPECT SPC TO REFER MILITARY-TECHNICAL MATTERS TO WG FREQUENTLY.

4. US MISSION OFFICERS (PEREZ AND MOORE) STRESSED THAT US WISHES FULL CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUES, BUT ALSO WANTS EXPEDITIOUS CONSIDERATION, PER AGREEMENT AT THE WASHINGTON TRILATERALS (PARA 4, REF B). THIS IS WHY US IS PREPARED TO BRING ITS EXPERTS ACROSS ATLANTIC TO REINFORCE SPC MEETINGS. DIRECT CONTACT BETWEEN EXPERTS FROM CAPITALS WOULD FACILITATE THE FULL AND EXPEDITIOUS CONSIDERATION WE WANT. THIS WOULD MAKE SUBSTANTIVE DISCUSSIONS IN OTHER FORUMS UNNECESSARY AND DUPLICATIVE. US SEES THIS AS A SPECIAL PROCEDURE FOR A SPECIAL SUBJECT. RE FRG REMARK THAT BONN DOES NOT EXCLUDE EVENTUAL ASSIGNMENT OF SPECIFIC LIMITED TASKS TO WG, MISSION OFFICERS SAID THAT ALLIES WOULD OF COURSE CONSIDER THIS IF THE NEED AROSE, BUT EXPRESSED SKEPTICISM THAT IT WOULD.

5. UK ALSO BELIEVES SOME OF THE ALLIED DISCUSSIONS ON OPTION III MAY REQUIRE EXCLUSION OF PORTUGAL, PARTICULARLY ANY DISCUSSION OF COSMIC-TOP SECRET MATERIAL. MISSION OFFICERS REITERATED POINTS IN PARA 5, REF B.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 101717

6. FRG AND UK DELEGATION OFFICERS AGAIN EXPRESSED HOPE US WOULD INTRODUCE OPTION III PRIOR TO DPC MINISTERIAL MAY 22-23 (PARA 3, REF A). FRG OFFICERS THOUGHT INTRODUCTION AT ANY TIME WOULD BE ALL RIGHT WITH BONN BUT UK OFFICERS HOPED US COULD HOLD OFF UNTIL RECEIPT OF LATEST UK COMMENTS.

7. FRG DELEGATION OFFICERS SAID THAT IN VIEW OF THE DISAGREEMENT

ON HOW TO PROCEED ON MILITARY-TECHNICAL QUESTIONS, US MIGHT PROPOSE MODALITIES WHEN IT INTRODUCES OPTION III, AND THE ISSUE WOULD THEN BE DISCUSSED IN ALLIANCE AS A WHOLE.

8. COMMENT: UK ATTITUDE INDICATES THAT UK IS PREPARED FOR LENGTHY ALLIANCE DISCUSSIONS OF OPTION III. MISSION AGREES ALLIANCE CONSULTATIONS SHOULD BE BOTH EXPEDITIOUS AND FULL, AS PER PARA 4, REF B. UK CLEARLY IS NOT SEEKING A BALANCE BETWEEN THESE TWO QUALITIES, HOWEVER, BUT IS EMPHASIZING THE LATTER. IF UK PERSISTS IN THIS ATTITUDE, ALLIED CONSULTATIONS ON OPTION III WILL BE LENGTHY, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE OTHER ALLIES AGREE WITH THE US ON LIMITING THE NUMBER OF FORUMS.

9. ACTION REQUESTED:

A. MISSION SUGGESTS A US DEMARCHE IN LONDON, AT AN APPROPRIATELY HIGH LEVEL, ON THE NEED FOR CONSULTATIONS WHICH ARE BOTH EXPEDITIOUS AND FULL, AND ON LIMITING THE NUMBER OF FORUMS, AS THE BEST WAY TO ACHIEVE SUCH CONSULTATIONS.

B. MISSION RECOMMENDS THAT WASHINGTON AUTHORIZE US TO DISCUSS THE QUESTION OF FORUMS, IN ADVANCE OF INTRODUCTION OF OPTION III, WITH THOSE DELEGATIONS MOST LIKELY TO SUPPORT THE US VIEW, I.E., THE DUTCH, BELGIANS AND CANADIANS. THIS WOULD IMPLY BEING ABLE TO GIVE THESE DELEGATIONS THE ADVANCE BRIEFING REFERRED TO IN PARA 6, REF B.
STREATOR UNQUOTE KISSINGER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, BALANCED FORCE REDUCTIONS, MILITARY PLANS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 01 MAY 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE101717
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: P:WCAMPBELL
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750153-0902
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750589/aaaaddln.tel
Line Count: 142
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: 75 USNATO 2315
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <16 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: PROCEEDING IN NATO WITH OPTION III DEPARTMENT PLEASE PASS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, USNMR SHAPE
TAGS: PARM, XG, UK, GE, US, NATO, MBFR
To: USNMR SHAPE USCINCEUR
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006